

Dynamical centers for the elliptic quantum algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$

J. Avan¹

Work in collaboration with L. Frappat² and É. Ragoucy²

¹LPTM

Cergy-Pontoise

²LAPTH

Annecy

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **50** (2017) 394002

JMM 60, October 23rd, 2017

The DVA

- DVA = deformation of Virasoro algebra; many contexts (RSOS symmetries, AGT duality in 5d, ZF algebras in XYZ spin chains...)
- Construction proposed by authors in Commun. Math. Phys. **354** (2017) 753, arXiv:1607.05050: bilinear traces in Lax operators of elliptic quantum algebras
- How about DYNAMICAL elliptic quantum algebras: which deformation of DVA may occur ?

General algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$

- Face-type/dynamical elliptic quantum algebra $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) =$ quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra = Drinfeld twist on $\mathcal{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ affine Kac–Moody algebra

- Universal R -matrix $\mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ satisfies so-called *Gervais–Neveu–Felder* or *dynamical Yang–Baxter equation* :

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(\lambda + h^{(3)}) \mathcal{R}_{13}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_{23}(\lambda + h^{(1)}) = \mathcal{R}_{23}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_{13}(\lambda + h^{(2)}) \mathcal{R}_{12}(\lambda)$$

- Evaluated R -matrix is:

$$R(z_1/z_2, \lambda) = (\pi_V(z_1) \otimes \pi_V(z_2)) \mathcal{R}(\lambda) \text{ hence RLL relations:}$$

$$R_{12}(z_{12}, h) L_1^\pm(z_1) L_2^\pm(z_2, h^{(1)}) = L_2^\pm(z_2) L_1^\pm(z_1, h^{(2)}) R_{12}(z_{12})$$

$$R_{12}(q^c z_{12}, h) L_1^+(z_1) L_2^-(z_2, h^{(1)}) = L_2^-(z_2) L_1^+(z_1, h^{(2)}) R_{12}(q^{-c} z_{12})$$

R -matrix of $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{gl}_2)_c$ in the fundamental representation (Felder)

$$R(z, \lambda) = \rho(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b(z) & c(z) & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{c}(z) & \bar{b}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Elliptic functions in R matrix

$$b(z) = \frac{\Theta_\rho(q^2 w) \Theta_\rho(z)}{\Theta_\rho(w) \Theta_\rho(q^2 z)}, \quad \bar{b}(z) = \frac{\Theta_\rho(q^2 w^{-1}) \Theta_\rho(z)}{\Theta_\rho(w^{-1}) \Theta_\rho(q^2 z)},$$

$$c(z) = \frac{\Theta_\rho(q^2) \Theta_\rho(wz)}{\Theta_\rho(w) \Theta_\rho(q^2 z)}, \quad \bar{c}(z) = \frac{\Theta_\rho(q^2) \Theta_\rho(w^{-1} z)}{\Theta_\rho(w^{-1}) \Theta_\rho(q^2 z)}.$$

Here all parameters encapsulated as:

$\lambda = \rho + 1/2 s \sigma_z + (r + 2)d + \xi c$. Single dynamical parameter w is related to deformation parameter q as $w = q^{2s}$ and z is spectral parameter.

Jacobi Θ function: $\Theta_\rho(z) = (z; \rho)_\infty (pz^{-1}; \rho)_\infty (p; \rho)_\infty$ with infinite multiple Pochhammer products:

$$(z; p_1, \dots, p_m)_\infty = \prod_{n_i \geq 0} (1 - zp_1^{n_1} \dots p_m^{n_m}).$$

Half Crossing relation

The dynamical elliptic R -matrices (R : Felder; \tilde{R} : JKOS, twisted) satisfy the following crossing relations:

$$\sigma_y^{(1)} (R_{12}^{t_1}(z^{-1}q^{-2}, \lambda))^{-sh_1} \sigma_y^{(1)} \frac{\Upsilon(\lambda + \sigma_z^{(2)})}{\Upsilon(\lambda)} = R_{12}^{-1}(z^{-1}, \lambda)$$

and

$$\sigma_y^{(1)} \Gamma_1(\tilde{R}_{12}^{t_1}(z^{-1}q^{-2}, \lambda))^{-sh_1} \Gamma_1(\sigma_z^{(2)})^{-1} \sigma_y^{(1)} \frac{\Upsilon(\lambda + \sigma_z^{(2)})}{\Upsilon(\lambda)} = \tilde{R}_{12}^{-1}(z^{-1}, \lambda)$$

where $\Upsilon(\lambda) = w^{-1/2} \Theta_p(w)$ and $\Gamma(\lambda) = (\det g) g(\lambda)^{-1} g(\lambda)^{-sc}$
 g is additional, spectral-parameter-independent diagonal matrix
 twisting R to \tilde{R} .

Full crossing relation

Crossing-unitarity relation (Jimbo Miwa Okado)

$$\left((\tilde{R}_{12}(z^{-1}q^{-4}, \lambda)^{-s_{l_2}})^{t_1} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n(z)} G_1(\lambda)^{-1} (\tilde{R}_{21}^{t_1}(z, \lambda))^{-sc_2} G_1(\lambda - \sigma_z^{(2)})$$

where $G(\lambda) = \frac{\Upsilon(\lambda)}{\Upsilon(\lambda + \sigma_z)}$, can be deduced by double application of the half crossing relation.

Generating functions

Introduce the generating functions

$$t(z, \lambda) = \text{Tr} \left(N(\lambda) e^{-\sigma_z \partial} L^+(q^{-c} z, \lambda)^{-1} L^-(z, \lambda) e^{\sigma_z \partial} \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t_n z^{-n},$$

$$t^*(z, \lambda) = \text{Tr} \left(N(\lambda) e^{-\sigma_z \partial} L^-(q^c z, \lambda)^{-1} L^+(z, \lambda) e^{\sigma_z \partial} \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t_n^* z^{-n}.$$

Diagonal matrix $N(\lambda)$ is given by

$$N(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\Upsilon(\lambda)}{\Upsilon(\lambda + \sigma_z)} \right)^{-sc} = \frac{\Upsilon(\lambda - \sigma_z)}{\Upsilon(\lambda)}$$

Exchange relations

They obey the exchange relations:

$$t(z_1, \lambda) L^\pm(z_2, \lambda) = L^\pm(z_2, \lambda) t(z_1, \lambda + \sigma_z) \quad \text{when } c = -2$$

$$t^*(z_1, \lambda) L^\pm(z_2, \lambda) = L^\pm(z_2, \lambda) t^*(z_1, \lambda + \sigma_z) \quad \text{when } c = 2$$

$N(\lambda)$ diagonal \implies generating functionals $t(z_1, \lambda)$ and $t^*(z_1, \lambda)$ lie in $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)_c$. Not in $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)$.

Dynamical shift on λ in exchange relations characterizes $t(z, \lambda)$ and $t^*(z, \lambda)$ as generating functionals for *dynamical* centers in $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)_c$.

Abelian algebra, quantum determinant ?

- At distinguished values of the central charge, $t(z, \lambda)$ (resp. $t^*(z, \lambda)$) realizes an abelian subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)_c$:

$$[t(z_1, \lambda), t(z_2, \lambda)] = 0 \quad \text{when } c = -2$$

$$[t^*(z_1, \lambda), t^*(z_2, \lambda)] = 0 \quad \text{when } c = 2.$$

- Traces also recast in terms of $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_2)_c$ generators $\tilde{L}^\pm(z) = L^\pm(z, \lambda) e^{\sigma_z \partial} \implies t^{(*)}(z_1)$ commute with $\tilde{L}^\pm(z_2)$.
- Connection with quantum determinant ? Direct inspection indicates that they are independent of the quantum determinant. More later.
- Hence: genuine extended centers at the respective critical values similarly to the quantum affine and vertex elliptic cases.

Vertex-IRF on algebras

- The Vertex-IRF transformation is implemented by a matrix $S(z; p, w)$:

$$S_1(z_1; p, w) S_2(z_2; p, wq^{h_1}) R^{IRF}(z_1/z_2; p, w) = R^{8V}((z_1/z_2)^{1/2}; p) S_2(z_2; p, w) S_1(z_1; p, wq^{h_2}).$$

- Defines a morphism from $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{gl}_2)_c$ to $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{gl}_2)_c$:

$$\phi : L^{IRF}(z) \rightarrow S(z; p, w)^{-1} L^{8V}(z^{1/2}) S(z; p^*, wq^h).$$

- Recall traces in $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{gl}_2)_c$:

$$t_{mn}(z) = \text{Tr} \left((g^{\frac{1}{2}} h g^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-m} L^{8V}((-p^{*\frac{1}{2}})^n z) (g^{\frac{1}{2}} h g^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-n} L^{8V}(z)^{-1} \right),$$

$$t_{-n,-m}^*(z) = \text{Tr} \left((g^{\frac{1}{2}} h g^{\frac{1}{2}})^n L^{8V}((-p^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-m} z)^{-1} (g^{\frac{1}{2}} h g^{\frac{1}{2}})^m L^{8V}(z) \right).$$

Vertex-IRF on traces

- Traces on non-dynamical side obey DVA-generating exchange relations:

$$t_{mn}(z_1) L^{8V}(z_2) = \mathcal{F}_{mn}(z_1/z_2) L^{8V}(z_2) t_{mn}(z_1),$$

$$L^{8V}(z_2) t_{-n,-m}^*(z_1) = \mathcal{F}_{mn}(z_1/z_2) t_{-n,-m}^*(z_1) L^{8V}(z_2),$$

- Original Lax matrix $L^{8V}(z)$ on surface \mathcal{S}_{mn} defined by $(-p^{\frac{1}{2}})^m (-p^{*\frac{1}{2}})^n = q^{-2}$.
- Pullback $\phi^* \implies$ dynamical exchange algebra:

$$s_{mn}(z_1; w) L^{IRF}(z_2) = \mathcal{F}_{mn}((z_1/z_2)^{1/2}) L^{IRF}(z_2) \tilde{s}_{mn}(z_1; w, h)$$

$$s_{mn}(z; w) = S(z; p, w)^{-1} \phi^*(t_{mn}(z^{1/2})) S(z; p, w),$$

$$\tilde{s}_{mn}(z; w, h) = S(z; p^*, wq^h)^{-1} \phi^*(t_{mn}(z^{1/2})) S(z; p^*, wq^h).$$

Vertex-IRF on traces, continued

- But $\phi^*(t_{mn}(z^{1/2}))$ may depend on w hence $\tilde{s}_{mn}(z; w, h)$ not equal to $s_{mn}(z; wq^h)$.
- Notwithstanding this issue: Applying Vertex-IRF transformation to $t_{1,-1}^*(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{q,p}(\widehat{gl}_2)_c$: ratio of spectral parameters in the trace is reproduced correctly.
- Hence dynamical centers relate here to vertex-type surface $\mathcal{S}_{1,-1}$, \implies consistent with no Liouville type formula since Liouville formula in elliptic case explicitly related to vertex-type surface $\mathcal{S}_{0,2}$.

why $c=2$?

- Critical level with extended center in affine algebra expected to be at $c = -2$. Why $c = 2$?
- Topological issue: Enveloping algebra requires local completion, vacuum representation and associated normal ordering for PBW procedure to be well-defined.
- Issue is that one can't have both t and t^* in SAME completion due to opposite orders of L^+ and L^- in two objects.
- hence $c = 2$ occurs all right, but in completion which has only lowest weight representations....
- Same issue with quantum affine algebras (vacuum representations go over to q -deformed case if q generic). Not so clear for elliptic or dynamical.

Perspectives

- Higher rank algebras ? $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)_c$? Crossing-unitarity extends but subtle issue re. splitting of G function which follows from half-crossing; no half-crossing in $N \geq 3$?
- general affine Lie algebra ?
- Poisson bracket structures: expansion around $c = -2$?
- Critical Surfaces ? instead of "supercritical" points where exchange algebra degenerates. Equivalent of quasi periodicity property for non dynamical case?

HAPPY BIRTHDAY JEAN MICHEL