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One of the fundamental models in this area is the Schur measure/process [Okounkov, Johansson, Reshetikhin, Borodin, Rains...] with applications to:

- lozenge and domino tilings (plane partitions, Aztec diamond...),
- last-passage percolation and exclusion processes.

Though free fermionic, it displays nontrivial features (limit shapes, KPZ-type fluctuations) and admits integrable deformations (Macdonald processes).

There exists several variants of the Schur process (pfaffian, periodic, shifted...) and here we consider the case of free (open) boundary conditions.
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## Outline

## (1) A motivating example: (symmetric) plane partitions

Plane partitions and Schur processes [Okounkov-Reshetikhin 2003]

Lozenge tiling


Plane partition


Sequence of interlaced integer partitions

$$
\emptyset \prec 2 \prec \begin{array}{r}
3 \\
1
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
4 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r}
4 \\
2 \\
2
\end{array} \succ \begin{aligned}
& 3 \\
& 2 \\
& 1
\end{aligned} \succ \begin{aligned}
& 3 \\
& 2
\end{aligned} \succ 2 \succ 1
$$

## Partitions, interlacing, Schur functions

An (integer) partition $\lambda$ is a nonincreasing sequence of integers

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{3} \geq \cdots
$$

that vanishes eventually. Its size is $|\lambda|:=\sum \lambda_{i}$.
Two partitions $\lambda, \mu$ are said interlaced, which we write $\lambda \succ \mu$, iff

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \mu_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \cdots
$$

Such constraint can be implemented via skew Schur functions of a single variable:

$$
s_{\lambda / \mu}(q)=q^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} \mathbb{1}_{\lambda \succ \mu}
$$

## Plane partitions and Schur processes [Okounkov-Reshetikhin 2003]

Consider a sequence $\underline{\lambda}=\cdots, \lambda^{(-2)}, \lambda^{(-1)}, \lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \cdots$ of integer partitions with finite support, and set

$$
W(\underline{\lambda})=\cdots s_{\lambda^{(-1)} / \lambda^{(-2)}}\left(q^{3 / 2}\right) s_{\lambda^{(0)} / \lambda^{(-1)}}\left(q^{1 / 2}\right) s_{\lambda^{(0)} / \lambda^{(1)}}\left(q^{1 / 2}\right) s_{\lambda^{(1)} / \lambda^{(2)}}\left(q^{3 / 2}\right) \cdots
$$

## Proposition

The weight $W(\underline{\lambda})$ is nonzero iff $\underline{\lambda}$ corresponds to a plane partition $\pi$, in which case $W(\underline{\lambda})=q^{\operatorname{vol}(\pi)}$.
Plane partitions whose shape fits in a $N \times N$ square correspond to sequences vanishing outside the interval $[-N, N]$.
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## Plane partitions and Schur processes [Okounkov-Reshetikhin 2003]

Consider a sequence $\underline{\lambda}=\cdots, \lambda^{(-2)}, \lambda^{(-1)}, \lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \cdots$ of integer partitions with finite support, and set
$W(\underline{\lambda})=\cdots s_{\lambda(-1) / \lambda^{(-2)}}\left(q^{3 / 2}\right) s_{\lambda(0) / \lambda^{(-1)}}\left(q^{1 / 2}\right) s_{\lambda(0) / \lambda^{(1)}}\left(q^{1 / 2}\right) s_{\lambda^{(1)} / \lambda^{(2)}}\left(q^{3 / 2}\right) \cdots$

## Proposition

The weight $W(\underline{\lambda})$ is nonzero iff $\underline{\lambda}$ corresponds to a plane partition $\pi$, in which case $W(\underline{\lambda})=q^{\operatorname{vol}(\pi)}$.
Plane partitions whose shape fits in a $N \times N$ square correspond to sequences vanishing outside the interval $[-N, N]$.

- By changing the order of some interlacings in $W(\underline{\lambda})$, one can treat "skew plane partitions" [Okounkov-Reshetikhin 2007].
- The form of $W(\underline{\lambda})$ is suitable for the transfer matrix method.

Our interest here: symmetric/free boundary tilings


$$
6 \prec \begin{aligned}
& \\
& 7 \\
& 7
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& 9 \\
& 5 \\
& 2
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& 9 \\
& 7 \\
& 3 \\
& 3
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \\
&
\end{aligned} \begin{gathered}
10 \\
8 \\
6 \\
2 \\
1
\end{gathered}
$$

Our interest here: symmetric/free boundary tilings


$$
\emptyset \prec 6 \prec \begin{aligned}
& 7 \\
& 7
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{r}
9 \\
5 \\
2
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& 9 \\
& 7 \\
& 3 \\
& 1
\end{aligned} \begin{gathered}
10 \\
8 \\
6 \\
2 \\
1
\end{gathered}
$$

## One free boundary (or pfaffian) Schur process

Consider a sequence $\underline{\lambda}=\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \cdots$ of integer partitions with finite support, and set

$$
W(\underline{\lambda})=s_{\lambda^{(0)} / \lambda^{(1)}}\left(q^{1 / 2}\right) s_{\lambda^{(1)} / \lambda^{(2)}}\left(q^{3 / 2}\right) \cdots
$$

## Proposition

The weight $W(\underline{\lambda})$ is nonzero iff $\underline{\lambda}$ corresponds to a vertically symmetric plane partition $\pi$, in which case $W(\underline{\lambda})=q^{\operatorname{vol}(\pi) / 2}$.

- This is an instance of pfaffian Schur process [Borodin-Rains 2005, see also Sasamoto-Imamura 2003].


## Large objects $(q \rightarrow 1)$ : limit shape
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## Cylindric partitions and periodic Schur process

[Gessel-Krattenthaler 1997, Borodin 2007]


Picture by Borodin.

## Cylindric partitions and periodic Schur process

[Gessel-Krattenthaler 1997, Borodin 2007]


Picture by Borodin.
$\cdots \prec 3 \succ 1 \prec \begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1\end{aligned} \succ 2 \prec{ }_{2}^{7} \succ{ }_{1}^{3} \prec{ }_{1}^{4} \succ 1$ ${ }_{1}{ }_{1}^{2} \succ 2 \prec 7 \succ \cdots$

## Periodic Schur process [Borodin 2007]

Consider a periodic sequence $\underline{\lambda}=\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \cdots, \lambda^{(2 N)}=\lambda^{(0)}$ of integer partitions, and set

$$
W(\underline{\lambda})=s_{\lambda^{(0)} / \lambda^{(1)}}(q) s_{\lambda^{(2)} / \lambda^{(1)}}\left(q^{-2}\right) \cdots s_{\lambda^{(2 N)} / \lambda^{(2 N-1)}}\left(q^{-2 N}\right) \times q^{2 N\left|\lambda^{(0)}\right|}
$$

## Proposition

The weight $W(\underline{\lambda})$ is nonzero iff $\underline{\lambda}$ corresponds to a cylindric partition $\pi$, in which case $W(\underline{\lambda})=q^{\operatorname{vol}(\pi)}$.

- The extra factor $q^{2 N\left|\lambda^{(0)}\right|}$ is needed, as otherwise constant sequences would all have weight 1.


## Different type of boundary conditions

We have encountered instances of Schur process with various types of "boundary conditions":

- empty/empty [Okounkov-Reshetikhin 2003],
- free/empty [Borodin-Rains 2005],
- periodic [Borodin 2007].

Missing case: free/free (equivalent to periodic with reflection symmetry).

## Schur point processes and correlation functions

We consider the point process:

$$
\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\lambda})=\left\{\left(i, \lambda_{j}^{(i)}-j+1 / 2\right), i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0\right\}
$$

(related to the position of horizontal lozenges in the tiling picture).
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We consider the point process:

$$
\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\lambda})=\left\{\left(i, \lambda_{j}^{(i)}-j+1 / 2\right), i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0\right\}
$$

(related to the position of horizontal lozenges in the tiling picture).
What is the "nature" of this point process ?

- empty/empty: determinantal [Okounkov-Reshetikhin 2003],
- free/empty: pfaffian [Borodin-Rains 2005],
- periodic: determinantal after a "shift-mixing" [Borodin 2007],
- free/free: pfaffian after mixing [BBNV17].

Mixing means we have to consider a vertically-shifted process $\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\lambda})+(0, c)$ with $c$ random. In the free fermion picture, $c$ arises as the charge.

## Determinantal and pfaffian point processes

A simple point process $\xi$ in a discrete space $X$ is said:

- determinantal if

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \xi\right)=\operatorname{det}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} k\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)
$$

for some $k: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

- pfaffian if

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \xi\right)=\operatorname{pf}\left[K\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}
$$

for some $K: X \times X \rightarrow M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ with $K(x, y)=-K(y, x)^{T}$, for any finite set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset X$.

Determinantal is a subcase of pfaffian, when taking

$$
K(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & k(x, y) \\
-k(y, x) & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## Outline

(1) A motivating example: (symmetric) plane partitions
(2) The model and its partition function

## General definition

The free boundary Schur process is a random sequence of partitions

$$
\mu^{(0)} \subset \lambda^{(1)} \supset \mu^{(1)} \subset \cdots \supset \mu^{(N-1)} \subset \lambda^{(N)} \supset \mu^{(N)}
$$

such that

$$
\operatorname{Prob}(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu})=\frac{1}{Z} u^{\left|\mu^{(0)}\right|} v^{\left|\mu^{(N)}\right|} \prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(s_{\lambda^{(k)} / \mu^{(k-1)}}\left(\rho_{k}^{+}\right) s_{\lambda^{(k)} / \mu^{(k)}}\left(\rho_{k}^{-}\right)\right) .
$$

Here:

- $u, v$ are nonnegative real parameters (recover empty boundary conditions by taking them zero),
- the $\rho_{k}^{ \pm}$are collections of variables (e.g. single variables for plane partitions),
- $Z=Z(u, v, \ldots)$ is the partition function.


## Partitions and fermionic states



There is a well-known correspondence between:

- charged partitions $(\lambda, c)$ with $\lambda$ a partition and $c$ an integer "charge"
- Maya diagrams, i.e. subsets $S$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{Z}+1 / 2$ such that $S$ has a largest element and $\mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \backslash S$ a smallest element.
This mapping reads explicitly $(\lambda, c) \mapsto\left\{\lambda_{i}-i+c+1 / 2, i \geq 1\right\}$ hence is closely related to point configurations.


## Fock space treatment

Let $\psi_{k}, \psi_{k}^{*}\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}\right)$ be the fermionic operators satisfying the canonical anticommutation relations

$$
\psi_{k} \psi_{\ell}^{*}+\psi_{\ell}^{*} \psi_{k}=\delta_{k, \ell}
$$

and the vacua $\langle 0|,|0\rangle$ such that

$$
\langle 0| \psi_{k}=\langle 0| \psi_{-k}^{*}=0, \quad \psi_{k}^{*}|0\rangle=\psi_{-k}|0\rangle=0, \quad k>0 .
$$

## Fock space treatment

Let $\psi_{k}, \psi_{k}^{*}\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}\right)$ be the fermionic operators satisfying the canonical anticommutation relations

$$
\psi_{k} \psi_{\ell}^{*}+\psi_{\ell}^{*} \psi_{k}=\delta_{k, \ell}
$$

and the vacua $\langle 0|,|0\rangle$ such that

$$
\langle 0| \psi_{k}=\langle 0| \psi_{-k}^{*}=0, \quad \psi_{k}^{*}|0\rangle=\psi_{-k}|0\rangle=0, \quad k>0 .
$$

The action of fermionic operators on $|0\rangle$ generates the Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ whose basis is indexed by Maya diagrams:

$$
|S\rangle=|\lambda, c\rangle=(-1)^{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{r}+r / 2} \psi_{i_{1}} \cdots \psi_{i_{r}} \psi_{j_{1}}^{*} \cdots \psi_{j_{s}}^{*}|0\rangle
$$

where $i_{1}>\cdots>i_{r}>0>j_{1}>\cdots>j_{s}$ and $c=r-s$.

## Fock space and Schur functions

The bosonic operators $\alpha_{n}:=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}} \psi_{k-n} \psi_{k}^{*}(n \neq 0)$ generate a Heisenberg algebra, and the (half-)vertex operators

$$
\Gamma_{ \pm}(\rho):=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{p_{n}(\rho) \alpha_{ \pm n}}{n}\right)
$$

have skew Schur functions as their matrix elements:

$$
\langle\lambda, c| \Gamma_{+}(\rho)\left|\mu, c^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mu, c^{\prime}\right| \Gamma_{-}(\rho)|\lambda, c\rangle= \begin{cases}s_{\mu / \lambda}(\rho), & \text { if } c=c^{\prime} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

[see e.g. Jimbo-Miwa 1983, Miwa-Jimbo-Date 2000]
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\Gamma_{ \pm}(\rho):=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{p_{n}(\rho) \alpha_{ \pm n}}{n}\right)
$$

have skew Schur functions as their matrix elements:

$$
\langle\lambda, c| \Gamma_{+}(\rho)\left|\mu, c^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mu, c^{\prime}\right| \Gamma_{-}(\rho)|\lambda, c\rangle= \begin{cases}s_{\mu / \lambda}(\rho), & \text { if } c=c^{\prime} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
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[see e.g. Jimbo-Miwa 1983, Miwa-Jimbo-Date 2000]
The partition function of the Schur process with empty b.c. reads

$$
Z=\langle 0| \Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_{1}^{+}\right) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho_{1}^{-}\right) \cdots \Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_{N}^{+}\right) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho_{N}^{-}\right)|0\rangle
$$

[Okounkov-Reshetikhin 2003]

## Free boundary states [B.-Chapuy-Corteel 2014]

To handle free boundaries, we introduce free boundary states

$$
|\underline{v}\rangle:=\sum_{\lambda} v^{|\lambda|}|\lambda, 0\rangle, \quad\langle\underline{u}|:=\sum_{\lambda} u^{|\lambda|}\langle\lambda, 0|
$$

and then the partition function reads

$$
Z=\langle\underline{u}| \Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_{1}^{+}\right) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho_{1}^{-}\right) \cdots \Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_{N}^{+}\right) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho_{N}^{-}\right)|\underline{v}\rangle .
$$

## Free boundary states [B.-Chapuy-Corteel 2014]

To handle free boundaries, we introduce free boundary states

$$
|\underline{v}\rangle:=\sum_{\lambda} v^{|\lambda|}|\lambda, 0\rangle, \quad\langle\underline{u}|:=\sum_{\lambda} u^{|\lambda|}\langle\lambda, 0|
$$

and then the partition function reads

$$
Z=\langle\underline{u}| \Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_{1}^{+}\right) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho_{1}^{-}\right) \cdots \Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_{N}^{+}\right) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho_{N}^{-}\right)|\underline{v}\rangle .
$$

We have the following reflection identities:

$$
\Gamma_{+}(\rho)|\underline{v}\rangle=\tilde{H}(v \rho) \Gamma_{-}\left(v^{2} \rho\right)|\underline{v}\rangle, \quad\langle\underline{u}| \Gamma_{-}(\rho)=\tilde{H}(u \rho) \Gamma_{+}\left(u^{2} \rho\right)\langle\underline{u}| .
$$

where

$$
\tilde{H}(\rho):=\sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(\rho)=\prod_{i} \frac{1}{1-x_{i}} \prod_{i<j} \frac{1}{1-x_{i} x_{j}}
$$

## The partition function

Using the reflection identities together with the more standard relations

$$
\Gamma_{+}(\rho) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=H\left(\rho ; \rho^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{+}(\rho), \quad \Gamma_{+}(\rho)|0\rangle=|0\rangle, \quad\langle 0| \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=\langle 0|
$$

where

$$
H\left(\rho ; \rho^{\prime}\right):=\sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(\rho) s_{\lambda}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{i, j} \frac{1}{1-x_{i} y_{j}}
$$

(Cauchy identity)
we get
Theorem [B.-Chapuy-Corteel 2014, BBNV17]

$$
Z=\prod_{1 \leq k \leq \ell \leq N} H\left(\rho_{k}^{+} ; \rho_{\ell}^{-}\right) \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{\tilde{H}\left(u^{n-1} v^{n} \rho^{+}\right) \tilde{H}\left(u^{n} v^{n-1} \rho^{-}\right) H\left(u^{2 n} \rho^{+} ; v^{2 n} \rho^{-}\right)}{1-u^{n} v^{n}}
$$

where $\rho^{ \pm}=\rho_{1}^{ \pm} \cup \rho_{2}^{ \pm} \cup \cdots \cup \rho_{N}^{ \pm}$.

## Particular cases

- The original Schur process $u=v=0$ :

$$
Z=\prod_{1 \leq k \leq \ell \leq N} H\left(\rho_{k}^{+} ; \rho_{\ell}^{-}\right)
$$

Plane partitions:

$$
Z=H\left(q^{1 / 2}, q^{3 / 2}, \ldots ; q^{1 / 2}, q^{3 / 2}, \ldots\right)=\prod_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{j}\right)^{j}}
$$

- The pfaffian Schur process $u=0, v=1$ :

$$
Z=\prod_{1 \leq k \leq \ell \leq N} H\left(\rho_{k}^{+} ; \rho_{\ell}^{-}\right) \times \tilde{H}\left(\rho^{+}\right)
$$

Symmetric plane partitions:

$$
Z=\tilde{H}\left(q, q^{3}, \ldots\right)=\prod_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2 j-1}\right) \times\left(1-q^{2 j}\right)^{2 j-2}}
$$
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## Correlation functions

The general correlation function is obtained by inserting some "observables" $\psi_{k} \psi_{k}^{*}$ at appropriate places within
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For empty boundary conditions ( $u=v=0$ ), it is possible to reduce it to a determinant using Wick's theorem [OR 2003].

## Correlation functions

The general correlation function is obtained by inserting some "observables" $\psi_{k} \psi_{k}^{*}$ at appropriate places within

$$
Z=\langle\underline{u}| \Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_{1}^{+}\right) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho_{1}^{-}\right) \cdots \Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_{N}^{+}\right) \Gamma_{-}\left(\rho_{N}^{-}\right)|\underline{v}\rangle .
$$

For empty boundary conditions ( $u=v=0$ ), it is possible to reduce it to a determinant using Wick's theorem [OR 2003].

But the naive generalization of Wick's theorem to free boundary states fails. We solve this problem by introducing "extended" free boundary states, which are not eigenvalues of the charge operator.

## Extended free boundary states

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
X(v, t):=t \sum_{k>\ell>0} v^{k+\ell} \psi_{k} \psi_{\ell}+\sum_{k>0>\ell}(-1)^{\ell+1 / 2} v^{k-\ell} \psi_{k} \psi_{\ell}^{*}+ \\
t^{-1} \sum_{0>k \gg \ell}(-1)^{k+\ell+1} v^{-k-\ell} \psi_{k}^{*} \psi_{\ell}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
|\underline{v, t}\rangle:=e^{X(v, t)}|0\rangle=\sum_{\lambda} \sum_{c \in 2 \mathbb{Z}} t^{c / 2} v^{|\lambda|+c^{2} / 2}|\lambda, c\rangle .
$$

In particular, $|\underline{v}\rangle$ is the projection of $|\underline{v, t}\rangle$ on the subspace of charge 0 . We construct $\langle\underline{u, t}|$ similarly.
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\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
|\underline{v, t}\rangle:=e^{X(v, t)}|0\rangle=\sum_{\lambda} \sum_{c \in 2 \mathbb{Z}} t^{c / 2} v^{|\lambda|+c^{2} / 2}|\lambda, c\rangle .
$$

In particular, $|\underline{v}\rangle$ is the projection of $|\underline{v, t}\rangle$ on the subspace of charge 0 . We construct $\langle\underline{u, t}|$ similarly.

We note that $X(v, t)$ belongs to the Lie algebra $D_{\infty}^{\prime}$, this amounts to a fermionic Bogoliubov transformation.

## Extended free boundary states

## Wick's theorem for free boundaries [BBNV 2017]

Let $\Psi$ be again the vector space spanned by (possibly infinite linear combinations of) the $\psi_{k}$ and $\psi_{k}^{*}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$. For $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{2 n} \in \Psi$ and $u v<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\langle\underline{u, t}| \phi_{1} \cdots \phi_{2 n}|\underline{v, t}\rangle}{\langle\underline{u, t} \mid \underline{v, t}\rangle}=\operatorname{pf} A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the antisymmetric matrix defined by $A_{i j}=\langle\underline{u, t}| \phi_{i} \phi_{j}|\underline{v, t}\rangle /\langle\underline{u, t} \mid \underline{v, t}\rangle$ for $i<j$.
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where $A$ is the antisymmetric matrix defined by $A_{i j}=\langle\underline{u}, t| \phi_{i} \phi_{j}|\underline{v, t}\rangle /\langle\underline{u}, t \mid \underline{v, t}\rangle$ for $i<j$.

Passing to extended free boundary states amounts to randomly moving the point configuration by an even vertical shift $c$ with

$$
\operatorname{Prob}(c)=\frac{t^{c}(u v)^{c^{2} / 2}}{\theta_{3}\left(t^{2} ;(u v)^{4}\right)}
$$

Wick's theorem implies that this process is pfaffian (not determinantal since $\langle\psi \psi\rangle \neq 0$ ).
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## Wick's theorem for free boundaries [BBNV 2017]

Let $\Psi$ be again the vector space spanned by (possibly infinite linear combinations of) the $\psi_{k}$ and $\psi_{k}^{*}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$. For $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{2 n} \in \Psi$ and $u v<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\langle\underline{u, t}| \phi_{1} \cdots \phi_{2 n}|\underline{v, t}\rangle}{\langle\underline{u, t} \mid \underline{v, t}\rangle}=\operatorname{pf} A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the antisymmetric matrix defined by $A_{i j}=\langle\underline{u, t}| \phi_{i} \phi_{j}|\underline{v, t}\rangle /\langle\underline{u, t} \mid \underline{v, t}\rangle$ for $i<j$.

Passing to extended free boundary states amounts to randomly moving the point configuration by an even vertical shift $c$ with

$$
\operatorname{Prob}(c)=\frac{t^{c}(u v)^{c^{2} / 2}}{\theta_{3}\left(t^{2} ;(u v)^{4}\right)}
$$

Wick's theorem implies that this process is pfaffian (not determinantal since $\langle\psi \psi\rangle \neq 0$ ). Note that there is no shift for $u v=0$ (one free boundary).

## Extended free boundary states

Some further remarks:

- we have the fermionic reflection relations

$$
\psi(z)|\underline{v, t}\rangle=t^{-1} \frac{v-z}{v+z} \psi^{*}\left(\frac{v^{2}}{z}\right)|\underline{v, t}\rangle \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi(z):=\sum \psi_{k} z^{k} \\
\psi^{*}(w):=\sum \psi_{k}^{*} w^{-k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $|\underline{v, t}\rangle$ is closely related to a sum over states of any charge, which we can view as a pure tensor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{v, s}\rangle & :=\sum_{\lambda} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} s^{c} v^{|\lambda|+c^{2} / 2}|\lambda, c\rangle \\
& =\prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{-}^{\prime}}^{\otimes}\left(s^{-1} v^{-k}\left|o_{k}\right\rangle+\left|\bullet_{k}\right\rangle\right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\prime}}^{\otimes}\left(\left|o_{k}\right\rangle+s v^{k}\left|\bullet_{k}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- fermionic propagators can be evaluated using this representation, or the boson-fermion correspondence (bosonization).


## Correlation functions

Recall the definition of the point configuration

$$
\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\lambda}):=\left\{\left(i, \lambda_{j}^{(i)}-j+\frac{1}{2}\right), 1 \leq i \leq N, j \geq 1\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

and denote by $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}(\underline{\lambda}):=\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\lambda})+(0, c)$ the shifted point configuration.
We show that

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{S}^{\prime}(\underline{\lambda})\right)=\operatorname{pf}\left[K\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}
$$

for some explicit correlation kernel $K$.

## Correlation kernel

It takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1,1}\left(i, k ; i^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=\left[z^{k} w^{k^{\prime}}\right] F(i, z) F\left(i^{\prime}, w\right) \kappa_{1,1}(z, w) \\
& K_{1,2}\left(i, k ; i^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=\left[z^{k} w^{-k^{\prime}}\right] \frac{F(i, z)}{F\left(i^{\prime}, w\right)} \kappa_{1,2}(z, w) \\
& K_{2,2}\left(i, k ; i^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=\left[z^{-k} w^{-k^{\prime}}\right] \frac{1}{F(i, z) F\left(i^{\prime}, w\right)} \kappa_{2,2}(z, w)
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

- $F$ and $\kappa$ are Laurent series in $z$ and $w$ (obtained as expansions of meromorphic functions in certain compatible annuli)
- only $F$ depends on the $\rho_{k}^{ \pm}$("dressing")
- the $\kappa$ 's encodes the boundary conditions:

$$
\kappa_{1,1}(z, w)=\langle\underline{u, t}| \psi(z) \psi(w)|\underline{v, t}\rangle, \text { etc. }
$$

## Correlation functions: one free boundary

Theorem [Borodin-Raines 2005, Ghosal 2017, BBNV 2017]
For $u=0$, the point process $\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\lambda})$ is pfaffian, and its correlation kernel takes the universal form with

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(i, z) & =\frac{\prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq i} H\left(\rho_{\ell}^{+} ; z\right)}{H\left(v^{2} \rho^{+} ; z^{-1}\right) \prod_{i \leq \ell \leq N} H\left(\rho_{\ell}^{-} ; z^{-1}\right)} \\
\kappa_{1,1}(z, w) & =\frac{v^{2}(z-w) \sqrt{z w}}{(z+v)(w+v)\left(z w-v^{2}\right)} \\
\kappa_{1,2}(z, w) & =\frac{\left(z w-v^{2}\right) \sqrt{z w}}{(z+v)(w-v)(z-w)} \\
\kappa_{2,2}(z, w) & =\frac{v^{2}(z-w) \sqrt{z w}}{(z-v)(w-v)\left(z w-v^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall expand the $\kappa$ 's in the annuli $|z|,|w|>v$, with $|z|>|w|$ for $i \leq i^{\prime}$ and vice versa otherwise.

## Correlation functions: one free boundary

Remarks:

- in [Borodin-Raines 2005], the expressions appear slightly different because the first partition is assumed to have even columns,
- for $v=0$, the diagonal entries $K_{1,1}$ and $K_{2,2}$ vanish, and we recover the result from [Okounkov-Reshetikhin 2003] that $\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\lambda})$ is determinantal with kernel

$$
k\left(i, k ; i^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=\left[z^{k} w^{-k^{\prime}}\right] \frac{F(i, z)}{F\left(i^{\prime}, w\right)} \frac{\sqrt{z w}}{(z-w)}
$$

where

$$
F(i, z):=\frac{\prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq i} H\left(\rho_{\ell}^{+} ; z\right)}{\prod_{i \leq \ell \leq N} H\left(\rho_{\ell}^{-} ; z^{-1}\right)}
$$

## Correlation function: two free boundaries [BBNV 2017]

We find that $\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\lambda})$ is pfaffian, and its correlation kernel takes the universal form with

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(i, z) & =\frac{\prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq i} H\left(\rho_{\ell}^{+} ; z\right)}{\prod_{i \leq \ell \leq N} H\left(\rho_{\ell}^{-} ; z^{-1}\right)} \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{H\left(u^{2 n} v^{2 n-2} \rho^{-} ; z\right) H\left(u^{2 n} v^{2 n} \rho^{+} ; z\right)}{H\left(u^{2 n-2} v^{2 n} \rho^{+} ; z^{-1}\right) H\left(u^{2 n} v^{2 n} \rho^{-} ; z^{-1}\right)} \\
\kappa_{1,1}(z, w) & =\frac{v^{2}}{t z^{1 / 2} w^{3 / 2}} \frac{\left(u^{2} v^{2} ; u^{2} v^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}{\left(u z, u w,-\frac{v}{z},-\frac{v}{w} ; u v\right)_{\infty}} \frac{\theta_{u^{2} v^{2}\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)}^{\theta_{u^{2} v^{2}}\left(u^{2} z w\right)} \frac{\theta_{3}\left(\left(\frac{t v}{v^{2}}\right)^{2} ; u^{4} v^{4}\right)}{\theta_{3}\left(t^{2} ; u^{4} v^{4}\right)}}{\kappa_{1,2}(z, w)}=\frac{w^{1 / 2}}{z^{1 / 2}} \frac{\left(u^{2} v^{2} ; u^{2} v^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}{\left(u z,-u w,-\frac{v}{z}, \frac{v}{w} ; u v\right)_{\infty}} \frac{\theta_{u^{2} v^{2}}\left(u^{2} z w\right)}{\theta_{u^{2} v^{2}}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)} \frac{\theta_{3}\left(\left(\frac{t z}{w}\right)^{2} ; u^{4} v^{4}\right)}{\theta_{3}\left(t^{2} ; u^{4} v^{4}\right)} \\
\kappa_{2,2}(z, w) & =\frac{t v^{2}}{z^{1 / 2} w^{3 / 2}} \frac{\left(u^{2} v^{2} ; u^{2} v^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}{\left(-u z,-u w, \frac{v}{z}, \frac{v}{w} ; u v\right)_{\infty}} \frac{\theta_{u^{2} v^{2}}\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)}{\theta_{u^{2} v^{2}}\left(u^{2} z w\right)} \frac{\theta_{3}\left(\left(\frac{t v^{2}}{z w} ;\right)^{4} ; u^{4}\right)}{\theta_{3}\left(t^{2} ; u^{4} v^{4}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} ; q\right)_{\infty}:=\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(1-a_{1} q^{k}\right) \cdots\left(1-a_{m} q^{k}\right)$ and $\theta_{q}(z):=(z ; q)_{\infty}(q / z ; q)_{\infty}$. Laurent expansion is for $u^{-1}>|z|,|w|>v$.

## Correlation function: two free boundaries

- For $u=0$ we recover the previous case of one free boundary.
- It is actually possible to evaluate the pfaffians and express the general $n$-point correlation for both $\mathfrak{S}(\underline{\lambda})$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{d}(\underline{\lambda})$ as a coefficient in a Laurent series in $2 n$ variables. Behind this, there is an elliptic pfaffian identity which can be rewritten as a particular case of an identify due to Okada (2006). For $u=0$, we recover Schur's pfaffian identity

$$
\operatorname{pf}_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n} \frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{x_{i}+x_{j}}=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n} \frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{x_{i}+x_{j}}
$$

by a simple change of variables.

## An elliptic pfaffian identity

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{pf}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\kappa_{1,1}\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) & \kappa_{1,2}\left(z_{i}, w_{j}\right) \\
-\kappa_{1,2}\left(z_{j}, w_{i}\right) & \kappa_{2,2}\left(w_{i}, w_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}=\sqrt{\frac{w_{1} \cdots w_{n}}{z_{1} \cdots z_{n}}} \times \\
\frac{\left((u v)^{2} ;(u v)^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2 n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(u z_{i},-u w_{i},-v z_{i}^{-1}, v w_{i}^{-1} ; u v\right)_{\infty}} \frac{\theta_{3}\left(\left(t \frac{z_{1} \cdots z_{n}}{w_{1} \cdots w_{n}}\right)^{2} ;(u v)^{4}\right)}{\theta_{3}\left(t^{2} ;(u v)^{4}\right)} \times \\
\prod_{i, j=1}^{n} \theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(u^{2} z_{i} w_{j}\right)} \\
\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(w_{j} / z_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(z_{j} / w_{i}\right)}} \times \\
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \frac{\theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(z_{j} / z_{i}\right)} \theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(w_{j} / w_{i}\right)}}{\theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(u^{2} z_{i} z_{j}\right) \theta_{(u v)^{2}}\left(u^{2} w_{i} w_{j}\right)}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## An elliptic pfaffian identity

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{pf}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\kappa_{1,1}\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) & \kappa_{1,2}\left(z_{i}, w_{j}\right) \\
-\kappa_{1,2}\left(z_{j}, w_{i}\right) & \kappa_{2,2}\left(w_{i}, w_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}=\sqrt{\frac{w_{1} \cdots w_{n}}{z_{1} \cdots z_{n}}} \times \\
\frac{\left((u v)^{2} ;(u v)^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2 n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(u z_{i},-u w_{i},-v z_{i}^{-1}, v w_{i}^{-1} ; u v\right)_{\infty}} \frac{\theta_{3}\left(\left(t \frac{z_{1} \cdots z_{n}}{w_{1} \cdots w_{n}}\right)^{2} ;(u v)^{4}\right)}{\theta_{3}\left(t^{2} ;(u v)^{4}\right)} \times \\
\prod_{i, j=1}^{n} \theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(u^{2} z_{i} w_{j}\right)} \\
\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(w_{j} / z_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(z_{j} / w_{i}\right)}} \times \\
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \frac{\theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(z_{j} / z_{i}\right)} \theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(w_{j} / w_{i}\right)}}{\theta_{(u v)^{2}\left(u^{2} z_{i} z_{j}\right) \theta_{(u v)^{2}}\left(u^{2} w_{i} w_{j}\right)}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conclusion

- We define the free boundary Schur process which generalizes the "original" process of Okounkov-Reshetikhin, and its "pfaffian" variant,
- partition function and correlations are explicitly computed,
- the proof uses the Fock space formalism, with some new tricks
- applications to symmetric plane partitions and also plane overpartitions/domino tilings and last passage percolation
- more work needed to analyze asymptotics in the case with two free boundaries ( $u v>0$ ). New universality classes?
- are there non free fermionic deformation ? (see e.g. [Barraquand-Borodin-Corwin-Wheeler 2017] for one free boundary)
- Happy birthday Jean-Michel!

