Dynamics from Integrability: the Dream of Analytical Solutions

Conference on the occasion of the 60th birthday of Jean Michel Maillet, ENS Lyon, 24 October 2017



Jean-Sébastien Caux Universiteit van Amsterdam

Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek



Work done in collaboration with (among others):

A'dam gang: S. E. Tapias Arze, Pieter Claeys, E. Quinn, E. Ilievski B. Doyon, J. Dubail, H. G. Evertz, M. Haque, R. Konik, T. Yoshimura...



Computation of Dynamical Correlation Functions of Heisenberg Chains in a Magnetic Field

Jean-Sébastien Caux¹ and Jean Michel Maillet²

¹Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Amsterdam, 1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands ²Laboratoire de Physique, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 69364 Lyon, France (Received 15 February 2005; aubliched & August 2005)

We compute the momentum-

ournal of Statistical Mechanics:

Computation of dynamic functions of He gapless anisotro

> Jean-Sébastien Jean Michel Ma

R.

¹Departm

 ¹ Institute for Theore 1018 XE Amsterdam,
 ² Laboratoire de Phys 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, E-mail: jcaux@science

Received 8 July 2005 Accepted 12 August 2 Published 7 September ournal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment An IOP and SISSA journal

Dynamical structure factor at small q for the XXZ spin-1/2 chain

R G Pereira¹, J Sirker², J-S Caux³, R Hagemans³, J M Maillet⁴, S R White⁵ and I Affleck¹

¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z1, Canada

 2 Max-Planck-Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstrasse 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

³ Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Amsterdam,

1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁴ Laboratoire de Physique, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon et CNRS, 69364 Lyon Cédex 07, France

⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

E-mail: rpereira@phas.ubc.ca, j.sirker@fkf.mpg.de, jcaux@science.uva.nl, rhageman@science.uva.nl, maillet@ens-lyon.fr, srwhite@uci.edu and iaffleck@phas.ubc.ca

Received 29 June 2007

A long and productive relationship





The bet/challenge

Frank Göhmann to me (Montreal, 2008):

J-S, it's all very nice what you do, but it's not really what we're looking for.

Me to Jean Michel (about 10 years ago):

At your retirement party, I will remind you that you are still trying to provide an analytical answer to the question of dynamical correlations in quantum spin chains.

Heisenberg spin chain

$$S(k,\omega), \quad \Delta = 1, \quad h = 0$$



Gapless XXZ AFM: analytics using vertex operator approach

JSC, H. Konno, M. Sorrell and R. Weston, PRL 106, 217203 (2011), JSTAT (2012)

We consider the XXZ in zero field,

$$H = J \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(S_j^x S_{j+1}^x + S_j^y S_{j+1}^y + \Delta S_j^z S_{j+1}^z \right)$$

 $0 \le \Delta \le 1$

Longitudinal structure factor:

$$S^{zz}(k,\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j,j'} e^{-ik(j-j')} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \langle S_j^z(t) S_{j'}^z(0) \rangle$$

Longitudinal structure factor

Separates into
$$S^{zz}(k,\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S^{zz}_{(2m)}(k,\omega)$$

Matrix elements: from vertex operator approach

Jimbo, Miwa, Lashkevich, Pugai, Kojima, Konno, Weston, JSC

$$S_2^{zz}(k,\omega) = \frac{\Theta(\omega_{2,u}(k) - \omega)\Theta(\omega - \omega_{2,l}(k))}{\sqrt{\omega_{2,u}^2(k) - \omega^2}} \times (1 + 1/\xi)^2 \frac{e^{-I_{\xi}(\rho(k,\omega))}}{\cosh\frac{2\pi\rho(k,\omega)}{\xi} + \cos\frac{\pi}{\xi}}$$

where
$$\xi = \frac{\pi}{\mathrm{acos}\Delta} - 1$$
 $\cosh(\pi\rho(k,\omega)) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{2,u}^2(k) - \omega_{2,l}^2(k)}{\omega^2 - \omega_{2,l}^2(k)}}$

$$I_{\xi}(\rho) \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\sinh(\xi+1)t}{\sinh\xi t} \frac{\cosh(2t)\cos(4\rho t) - 1}{\cosh t \sinh(2t)}$$



Integrability 'probed' in the lab

Hope: also Quantum dots, NV centers, Atomic nuclei

Asymptotics: Luttinger Liquids, **Correlation Prefactors**, Nonlinear LL

Luttinger liquid phenomenology (Haldane 1981)

Luttinger liquid Hamiltonian:

$$H_0 = \frac{v}{2\pi} \int dx \, \left(K(\nabla \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{K} (\nabla \phi)^2 \right)$$

$$[\phi(x), \nabla \theta(x')] = i\pi \delta(x - x')$$

Haldane's great insight: **generic in Id**. Sound velocity and Luttinger parameter fixed from observables.

all correlation functions at low energies

Luttinger liquids: correlators

Around momentum $(2m + 1/2 \pm 1/2)k_F$ the fields are represented as

 $\psi_{F(B)}(x,t) \sim e^{i(2m+1/2\pm 1/2)[k_F x - \phi(x,t)] + i\theta(x,t)}$ LL theory predicts the asymptotics $\rho_0 x \gg 1$ of correlation functions as

Asymptotes of static function: determined by correlation around Umklapp modes

For the XXZ chain, bosonization similarly gives

$$S^{z}(x,t) \sim s_{z} - \frac{\nabla \phi}{\pi} + e^{i2m[(s_{z}+1/2)\pi x - \phi(x,t)]}$$
$$S^{+}(x,t) \sim e^{-i2m[(s_{z}+1/2)x - \phi(x,t)] - i\theta(x,t)}$$

with long-distance behaviour of static functions

$$\langle S^{z}(x)S^{z}(0)\rangle = s_{z}^{2} - \frac{K}{2(\pi x)^{2}} + \sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{D_{m}\cos(2m(s_{z}+1/2)\pi x)}{x^{2m^{2}K}}$$
$$\langle S^{+}(x)S^{-}(0)\rangle = (-1)^{x}\sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{E_{m}\cos(2m(s_{z}+1/2)\pi x)}{x^{2m^{2}K+1/(2K)}}$$

given in terms of non-universal prefactors D and E

Asymptotes of static function: determined by correlation around Umklapp/pi modes

Nonuniversal prefactors in the correlation functions of one-dimensional quantum liquids

Aditya Shashi,¹ Leonid I. Glazman,² Jean-Sébastien Caux,³ and Adilet Imambekov¹

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA

²Department of Physics, Yale University, 217 Prospect Street, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA

³Institute for Theoretical Physics, Universiteit van Amsterdam, 1090 GL Amsterdam, The Netherlands

(Received 17 October 2010; revised manuscript received 5 April 2011; published 5 July 2011)

We develop a general approach to calculating "nonuniversal" prefactors in static and dynamic correlation functions of one-dimensional (1D) quantum liquids at zero temperature by relating them to the finite-size scaling of certain matrix elements (form factors). This represents a powerful tool for extracting data valid in the thermodynamic limit from finite-size effects. As the main application, we consider weakly interacting spinless fermions with an arbitrary pair interaction potential, for which we perturbatively calculate certain prefactors in static and dynamic correlation functions. We also evaluate prefactors of the long-distance behavior of correlation functions nonperturbatively for the exactly solvable Lieb-Liniger model of 1D bosons.

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 85, 155136 (2012)

Exact prefactors in static and dynamic correlation functions of one-dimensional quantum integrable models: Applications to the Calogero-Sutherland, Lieb-Liniger, and XXZ models

Aditya Shashi,¹ Miłosz Panfil,² Jean-Sébastien Caux,² and Adilet Imambekov¹ ¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA ²Institute for Theoretical Physics, Universiteit van Amsterdam, 1090 GL Amsterdam, The Netherlands (Received 4 November 2011; revised manuscript received 9 February 2012; published 24 April 2012)

In this paper, we demonstrate a recently developed technique which addresses the problem of obtaining nonuniversal prefactors of the correlation functions of one-dimensional (1D) systems at zero temperature. Our approach combines the effective field theory description of generic 1D quantum liquids with the finite-size scaling of form factors (matrix elements) which are obtained using microscopic techniques developed in the context of integrable models. We thus establish exact analytic forms for the prefactors of the long-distance behavior of equal-time correlation functions as well as prefactors of singularities of dynamic response functions. In this paper, our focus is on three specific integrable models: the Calogero-Sutherland, Lieb-Liniger, and *XXZ* models.

For XXZ (transverse correlations):

OK, with Luttinger liquid theory, we can describe correlations...

... but what about

(where response is large)

<u>Dynamical</u> correlators: Nonlinear Luttinger Liquid Theory

Glazman, Imambekov, Khodas, Kamenev, Cheianov, Pustilnik, Affleck, Pereira, Sirker, JSC, ...

Observation: acting on ground state, an operator creates (few) high-energy + (many) low-energy excitations

Singularity structure of response functions

(Khodas, Pustilnik, Kamenev, Glazman; Imambekov & Glazman)

Dynamical structure factor for interacting bosons

Adilet Imambekov 1982-2012

Now: correlations, <u>everywhere</u> they matter? $S^{zz}(k,\omega)$ $S^{+-}(k,\omega)$

Fact: at incommensurate fields, the correlation is mathematically nonvanishing everywhere. Conjecture: it is also non-smooth everywhere (there are infinitely many intercrossing thresholds). $\forall (k, \omega), \exists n < \infty \mid \partial_{k, \omega}^n S(k, \omega) \text{ does not exist}$ Dynamics Far from the Ground State

Why be content with ground states? Open up the sea!

left Fermi sea

right Fermi sea

'Moses state'

Moses state in XXZ

Vlijm, Eliëns, Caux, SciPost Phys. 1, 008 (2016)

Integrable Models Beyond Equilibrium

Out-of-equilibrium using integrability

Pulsed:

Quenched:

Driven:

The super Tonks-Girardeau gas
 Split Fermi seas (Moses states)
 Spin echo in quantum dots

Quasisolitons

Interaction quench in Richardson Domain wall release in Heisenberg Geometric quench Interaction cutoff in Lieb-Liniger Release of trapped Lieb-Liniger BEC to Lieb-Liniger quench Quantum Newton's Cradle in TG Néel to XXZ quench

Generalized hydrodynamics

Floquet driving central spin
 Floquet driving Heisenberg

Progress on quenches

The most 'physical' GGE

Ilievski, Quinn, Caux PRB 85, 115128 (2017)

The natural basis for all conservation laws: the densities of fundamental particles, as encoded in the distribution of Bethe roots

$$\hat{
ho}_{GGE} = rac{1}{Z} \exp\left[-\sum_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda \ \mu_j(\lambda) \hat{
ho}_j(\lambda)
ight]$$

This is like a 'momentum distribution function' for true particles, which connects smoothly to the noninteracting limit

$$rac{\langle\lambda)|\Psi_0
angle}{V} \qquad s=rac{1}{2},1,rac{3}{2},...$$

(analytically!)

Quasisoliton dynamics in spin chains

Solitons (classical)

John Scott Russell: solitary wave of translation (1834)

(Herriot-Watt University)

Solitons (classical) (**Boussinesq**) **Korteweg-de Vries** equation 0.5 T_R $\partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \delta^2 \partial_x^3 u = 0$ First simulations: 0.4T_R TIME Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-VORMALIZED (Tsingou) T_R/39 absence of ergodicity 0.3TR Further simulations: T_R/4 Zabusky & Kruskal 1965 T_R/5 concept of a soliton T_R/6 **Classical inverse** 0.1 TR scattering

Quasisoliton scattering (quantum)

Vlijm, Ganahl, Fioretto, Brockmann, Haque, Evertz and Caux, 2015

Spinon dynamics in real space/time

Vlijm, Caux, PRB 2016

In memoriam

Ludvig Dmitrievich Faddeev 23/3/1934 - 26/2/2017 Generalized hydrodynamics

Generalized hydrodynamics (GHD)

B. Bertini, M. Collura, J. De Nardis and M. Fagotti, PRL 117, 207201 (2016)
O.A. Castro-Alvaredo, B. Doyon and T. Yoshimura, PRX 6, 041065 (2016)
B. Doyon and T. Yoshimura, SciPost Phys. 2, 0

 ∂_t

 $v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\lambda) = v^{\mathrm{gr}}(\lambda)$

Quench from spatially inhomogeneous state

After initial dephasings: 'hydrodynamic' evolution described by local GGE

Two-equation summary:

Local continuity equation (in terms of Bethe root densities)

Local effective velocity

GHD as 'molecular dynamics': the flea gas

B. Doyon, T. Yoshimura and JSC, arXiv: 1704.05482

- Second Encode initial state as a gas of quasisolitons
- Loop: evolve, collide and scatter (as if quasisolitons were classical particles, using displacement calculated from quantum phase shifts)

David Weiss's quantum Newton's cradle experiment

Ergodicity (or lack thereof) in interacting quantum systems close to an integrable model

The flea gas in a force field: simulating the quantum Newton's cradle

JSC, B. Doyon, J Dubail, R. Konik and T. Yoshimura, to appear

'Oscillation'-like dynamics at short time scales

The flea gas in a force field: simulating the quantum Newton's cradle

JSC, B. Doyon, J Dubail, R. Konik, and T. Yoshimura, to appear

'Relaxation'-like dynamics at long time scales

Floquet dynamics

The simple pendulum on its head

Kapitza pendulum, 1951

Pyotr L. Kapitza (8/7/1894-8/4/1984)

The Kapitza pendulum

Floquet basics

Unitary time evolution operator under action of periodic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}(t+T)$

$$\hat{U}(t) = T(e^{-i\int_{0}^{t} dt' H(t')}) = \hat{P}(t)e^{-i\hat{H}_{F}t}$$
"fast motion"
operator
$$\hat{P}(t+T) = \hat{P}(t)$$

$$\hat{P}(nT) = \mathbf{1} (\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z})$$
Floquet

Stroboscopic Floquet operator $\hat{U}_F \equiv \hat{U}(T) = e^{-i\hat{H}_F T}$

Diag'n:
$$\hat{H}_F = \sum_n \epsilon_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|$$
 $\hat{U}_F = \sum_n e^{-i\theta_n} |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|$

t evolution: $|\psi_n(t)\rangle = e^{-i\epsilon_n t} |\phi_n(t)\rangle, \qquad |\phi_n(t)\rangle = \hat{P}(t) |\phi_n\rangle$

"Quench-dequench" Floquet protocol

Let's consider the simple case of periodically "switching" between two Hamiltonians:

$$\hat{H}(t) = \begin{cases} \hat{H}_1 & \text{for} & 0 < t < \eta T, \\ \hat{H}_2 & \text{for} & \eta T < t < T, \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{U}_F \equiv e^{-i\hat{H}_F T} = e^{-i(1-\eta)T\hat{H}_2}e^{-i\eta T\hat{H}_1}$$

One then finds the nice identities

$$\epsilon_n = \frac{\theta_n}{T} = \langle \phi_n | \hat{H}_F | \phi_n \rangle \qquad \frac{\partial \theta_n}{\partial T} = \langle \phi_n | \hat{H}_{avg} | \phi_n \rangle$$

with t-avg Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{Avg} = \eta \hat{H}_1 + (1 - \eta) \hat{H}_2$

Floquet'ing integrable models

P. Claeys and JSC, arXiv: 1708.07324

Idea: Second take a one-parameter family of integrable models of quench/dequench sequences on this manifold

Floquet'ing integrable models P. Claeys and JSC, arXiv: 1708.07324

Hamiltonian: as you perhaps guessed, XXZ:

$$\hat{H}(t) = -J\sum_{i} \left[S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} + \Delta(t) S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z} \right]$$

Floquet protocol: binary switch between Δ_1 and Δ_2

Stroboscopic Floquet operator: $\hat{U}_F = e^{-i(1-\eta)T\hat{H}_2}e^{-i\eta T\hat{H}_1}$

No analytical solution, must rely on numerics Focus on sector with k = 0 and $m_z = 1/3$

Floquet'ing integrable models

P. Claeys and JSC, arXiv:1708.07324

Floquet phases, quasienergies and avg quasienergies as a function of T

All crossings are avoided

Eigenvalue statistics

 $r = \frac{\min(s_n, s_{n+1})}{\max(s_n, s_{n+1})} \in [0, 1], \ s_n = E_{n+1} - E_n \qquad \text{Expect} \quad \frac{\langle r \rangle_{GOE} \approx 0.535989}{\langle r \rangle_{POI} \approx 0.386295}$

Quasienergies mark transition from Poisson (high frequency) to GOE (low freq)

 $\langle r(\theta_n/T) \rangle$ (symbols) $\langle r(\partial_T \theta_n) \rangle$ (dashed lines)

Integrability breaking in Richardson-Gaudin

A variational method for integrability breaking in Richardson-Gaudin models

P. Claeys, JSC, D. van Neck and S. De Baerdemacker, arXiv: 1707.06793

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{States} & |\psi_{RG}\rangle = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{S_{i}^{\dagger}}{\epsilon_{i} - \lambda_{\alpha}}\right) |\downarrow \dots \downarrow\rangle\\\\ \textbf{diagonalize RG systems} & \hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \eta_{i}R_{i}, \qquad \eta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\\\\ \textbf{with} & R_{i} = S_{i}^{0} + g\sum_{j\neq i}^{L} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{i} - \epsilon_{j}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(S_{i}^{\dagger}S_{j} + S_{i}S_{j}^{\dagger}\right) + S_{i}^{0}S_{j}^{0}\right]\\\\ \textbf{provided} & 1 + \frac{g}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{i} - \lambda_{\alpha}} - g\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}} = 0, \qquad \alpha = 1 \dots N\end{array}$$

A variational method for integrability breaking in Richardson-Gaudin models

P. Claeys, JSC, D. van Neck and S. De Baerdemacker, arXiv: 1707.06793

Central Spin model:
$$\hat{H}_{cs} = BS_1^z + g \sum_{i \neq 1}^L \frac{\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_i}{\epsilon_{0,1} - \epsilon_{0,i}}$$

Perturbations: single spin, or two-spin terms

 $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{cs} + \mu S_i^0, \qquad \qquad \hat{H} = \hat{H}_{cs} + \mu \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$

Strategy: define a variational wavefunction using auxiliary quasi-energies as variational parameters, and optimize

$$E\left[\psi_{RG}\right] = \frac{\langle\psi_{RG}|\hat{H}|\psi_{RG}\rangle}{\langle\psi_{RG}|\psi_{RG}\rangle}$$

Parameters of optimized states Single spin perturbation:

Two-spin perturbation:

Energies of optimized states

Single spin perturbation:

Two-spin perturbation:

Challenges for Jean Michel

 Compute XXZ gapless AFM dynamical correlations at finite field, beyond asymptotics

 Compute dynamical correlations on states other than ground states or thermal states (start: low-entropy ones like Moses states)

 Find exact overlaps of eigenstates of (pairs of) distinct Hamiltonians (and find other exact quench steady states using the Quench Action)

• Find integrable Floquet Hamiltonians

Conclusions

- Equilibrium dynamics in integrable systems
 Very healthy recent history
- Quench dynamics in integrable systems
 - Surprisingly healthy recent history!
 - Quench Action (no details presented here, look at papers!)
 - Generalized hydrodynamics: from quasisolitons to the flea gas
- Floquet dynamics in integrable systems
 - Prototypical example: periodic quench/dequench in XXZ
 - Integrability breaking in Richardson-Gaudin
 - Bethe states as variational wavefunctions

Sci Post

Your community-run scientific publication portal

- Two-way open access
- Son-profit
- By and for professional scientists
- Copyright to authors
- Peer-witnessed refereeing
- Post-publication commenting

Sci Post

Your community-run scientific publication portal

indexed in Google Scholar Covered in Web of Science ESCI Full integration with Fundref/Crossmark Awarded the DOAJ Seal

Visit the website scipost.org