# Affine *q*-deformed symmetry and the classical Yang-Baxter $\sigma$ -model

François Delduc<sup>1</sup>, <u>Takashi Kameyama<sup>1</sup></u>, Marc Magro<sup>1</sup>, Benoit Vicedo<sup>2</sup>

1) Laboratoire de Physique, ENS de Lyon, UMR CNRS 5672

2) School of Physics, Astronomy and Mathematics, University of Hertfordshire

### **Summary**

Based on: [1701.03691]

We show that the local and non-local conserved charges of the YB $\sigma$ M satisfy the defining relations of the infinite-dimensional Poisson algebra  $\mathscr{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ , which is the classical analogue of the quantum loop algebra  $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $L\mathfrak{g}$  is the loop algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (rank(G)>1)

Defining relation of  $\mathscr{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$  \_

Defining relation of  $\mathscr{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  \_\_\_\_\_ [Delduc-Magro-Vicedo, 13]  $i\{Q_{\alpha_i}^H, Q_{\alpha_j}^H\} = 0,$  $C \cap H \cap F$   $C \cap F$ 

 $i\{Q_{\alpha_i}^H, \widetilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E\} = \pm d_i^{-1}(\theta, \alpha_i) \widetilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^E,$  $q^{d_\theta Q_\theta^H} - q^{-d_\theta Q_\theta^H}$ 

q-Poisson Serre relations —  $\{\underbrace{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \cdots, \{Q_{\alpha_i}^E, \widetilde{Q}_{-\theta}^E\}_q \cdots\}_q\}_q = 0,$ 



$$i\{Q_{\alpha_{i}}^{E}, Q_{\pm\alpha_{j}}^{E}\} = \pm A_{ij} Q_{\pm\alpha_{j}}^{E},$$

$$i\{Q_{\theta}^{E}, Q_{-\theta}^{E}\} = \frac{q - q - q}{q^{d_{\theta}} - q^{-d_{\theta}}},$$

$$i\{Q_{\theta}^{E}, Q_{-\theta}^{E}\} = \frac{q - q - q}{q^{d_{\theta}} - q^{-d_{\theta}}},$$

$$i\{Q_{\alpha_{i}}^{E}, Q_{-\theta}^{E}\} = \delta_{ij} \frac{q^{d_{i}Q_{\alpha_{i}}^{H}} - q^{-d_{i}Q_{\alpha_{i}}^{H}}}{q^{d_{i}} - q^{-d_{i}}},$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_{i}}^{E}, \widetilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^{E}\} = 0,$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_{i}}^{E}, \widetilde{Q}_{-\theta}^{E}\} = 0,$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_{i}}^{E}, \widetilde{Q}_{-\theta}^{E}\} = 0,$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_{i}}^{E}, \widetilde{Q}_{-\theta}^{E}\} = 0,$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_{i}}^{E}, \widetilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^{E}\} = 0,$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_{i}}^{E}, \widetilde{Q}_{-\theta}^{E}\} = 0,$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_{i}}^{E}, \widetilde{Q}_{\pm\theta}^{E}\} = 0,$$

$$i\{Q_{\pm\alpha_{i}$$

These defining relations are proved without encountering any ambiguity related to the non-ultralocality of the integrable  $\sigma$ -model

# Yang-Baxter $\sigma$ -model



Input: *R*-matrix satisfying mCYBE

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}(1+\eta^2)^2 \int dt \, dx \, \kappa \left(\partial_+ g g^{-1}, \frac{1}{1-\eta R} \partial_- g g^{-1}\right)$$

Deformation parameter:  $\eta \in [0,1)$ 

[Klimčík, 02,08] [Delduc-Magro-Vicedo, 1]

- The YB $\sigma$ M is an integrable deformation of the PCM on a Lie group G
- The deformation breaks the  $G \times G$  symmetry to  $U(1)^{\operatorname{rank}(G)} \times G$
- *R* is a skew-symmetric solution of mCYBE

 $\kappa(M, RN) = -\kappa(RM, N), \quad [RM, RN] = R([RM, N] + [M, RN]) + [M, N]$ Here we choose the standard *R*-matrix of Drinfeld-Jimbo type

• 
$$q \in \mathbb{R}$$
 is related to  $\eta$  as  $q = \mathrm{e}^{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = -\eta/(1+\eta^2)^2$ 

#### **Expansion around the poles of the twist function**

- The Poisson bracket of the Lax matrix depends on the twist function  $\varphi(\lambda)$
- The double pole of  $\varphi_{PCM}(\lambda)$  at  $\lambda_0=0$  splits into a pair of single poles of  $\varphi_{YB\sigma M}(\lambda)$  at  $\lambda_+=\pm i\eta$
- At the two poles at  $\lambda_+=\pm i\eta$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^g(\pm i\eta,x)$  belong to opposite Borel subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$
- Monodromy matrices  $T^g(\pm i\eta)$  give conserved charges  $Q^H_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $Q^E_{\pm \alpha_i}$  which satisfy the defining relations of  $\mathscr{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  [Delduc-Magro-Vicedo, 13]





- The middle line depicts the level 0 charges of the finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{U}_{a}(\mathfrak{g})$ , with the red and green portions corresponding to charges coming respectively from  $T^{g}(\pm i\eta)$ .
- The dots on the ends of the upper and lower lines correspond to two new level ±1 charges of the infinite-dimensional  $\mathcal{U}_{q}(L\mathfrak{g})$ , coming respectively from the next order in the expansion of  $T^{g}(\lambda)$  around  $\pm i\eta$ .

•  $\tilde{Q}_{\pm A}^{E}$  associated with the affine simple root are extracted from the linear terms in the expansion of  $T^{g}(\lambda)$  around  $\pm i\eta$ , respectively

## **Defining relations of** Uq(Lg)

Charges associated with the string of roots  $-\theta + r \alpha_i$  satisfy the q-Poisson brackets,  $\{Q^E_{\alpha_i}, \tilde{Q}^E_{-\theta+r\alpha_i}\}_q = 2iN_{-\theta+r\alpha_i,\alpha_i}\tilde{Q}^E_{-\theta+(r+1)\alpha_i}$ 

Since  $-\theta + (\mathbf{q}+1) \alpha_i$  is not a root by definition of  $\mathbf{q}$ , we have that  $N_{-\theta+\mathbf{q}\alpha_i,\alpha_i} = 0$ , hence the q-Poisson-Serre relation is satisfied

- Despite the non-ultralocal nature of the model considered, there are no ambiguities in the Poisson brackets entering the defining relations of the infinite-dimensional Poisson algebra  $\mathscr{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$
- Unlike the Yangian [MacKay, 92] and SU(2) YB $\sigma$ M [Kawaguchi-Matsumoto-Yoshida, 12], the problematic terms  $\partial_x \delta_{xy}$  never showed up in the derivation of the defining relations
- Although the defining relations of  $\mathscr{U}_q(L\mathfrak{g})$  are unambiguous, the Poisson brackets of certain conserved charges are still ill-defined e.g.  $\{\mathfrak{Q}^E_{\theta}(x), \widetilde{\mathfrak{Q}}^E_{-\theta}(y)\}$  does not appear in the defining relations, but contains  $\partial_x \delta_{xy}$  terms